SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF FORTHCOMING FILING

The Supreme Court has indicated that the filing of a written opinion in the following case(s) is forthcoming. At the filing time designated below, the filed opinion(s) will be accessible at the judicial branch web site (www.courtinfo.ca.gov) and copies will be made available at the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

[Generally, the description set out with regard to each case is reproduced from the original news release issued when review in the matter was granted, and is provided for the convenience of the public and the press. The description does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

PEOPLE v. LETNER (RICHARD)& TOBIN (CHRISTOPHER)

S015384 (Tulare County Superior Court – 26592) Argued in San Francisco 5-03-10

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (RODRIGO)

S167051 (B198165; Los Angeles County Superior Court – BA298659-01) Argued in San Francisco 5-04-10

The court limited review to the following issue: Were defendant's convictions for attempted murder of seven police officers and a civilian supported by sufficient evidence when only one shot was fired and only one officer was hit?

IN RE PRATHER (MICHAEL B.) ON HABEAS CORPUS

S172903 (B211805; Los Angeles County Superior Court – BH005392)

IN RE MOLINA (MIGUEL) ON HABEAS CORPUS

S173260 (B208705; San Luis Obispo County Superior Court – CR13298) Argued in San Francisco 5-04-10

These cases present the following issues: When a court determines the Board of Parole Hearings abused its discretion in denying parole to an inmate: (1) may it order the board to release the inmate on parole or must it allow the board to redetermine the inmate's parole suitability and afford the Governor the opportunity to exercise his or her independent constitutional right to review parole decisions [Molina]? and (2) may it order the board to find the inmate suitable for parole unless new and different evidence of the inmate's conduct in prison subsequent to the hearing at issue supports a determination that the inmate currently poses an unreasonable risk of danger to society if released on parole [Prather]?

Opinion(s) in the above case(s) will be filed on: